State of California

To:

Memorandum

670.0005

Date: March 14, 1996

Ramon J. Hirsig Acting Division Chief Valuation Division, MIC:61

From : Larry Augusta Assistant Chief Counsel

Subject: Depreciation of Car Betterments under § 11292

In your memo to me of February 18, 1996, you asked for my opinion as to which of two conflicting interpretations of subdivision (g) of Rev. and Tax. Code §11292 should prevail.

While the matter is not entirely free from doubt, it is my conclusion that the better view for our purposes is that the betterments should be included in the acquisition cost of the car regardless of when the betterment is placed on the car. In other words, the accumulated depreciation to be assigned to a betterment is that based on the age and total depreciable life of the railcar to which the betterment has been applied.

Four liquet

cc: Mr. James Speed Mr. Harold Hale Mr. David Hendrick M. James M. Williams

car.doc