

FRESNO COUNTY ASSESSMENT SAMPLING SURVEY

NOVEMBER 2020

CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

TED GAINES, SACRAMENTO

MALIA M. COHEN, SAN FRANCISCO

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, CHAIRMAN, SANTA MONICA

MIKE SCHAEFER, VICE CHAIR, SAN DIEGO

BETTY T. YEE

FIRST DISTRICT

SECOND DISTRICT

THIRD DISTRICT

FOURTH DISTRICT

STATE CONTROLLER

BRENDA FLEMING, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR





STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
PROPERTY TAX DEPARTMENT
450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0064
1-916-274-3350 • FAX 1-916-285-0134
www.boe.ca.gov

TED GAINES
First District, Sacramento

MALIA M. COHEN
Second District, San Francisco

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, CHAIRMAN
Third District, Santa Monica

MIKE SCHAEFER, VICE CHAIR
Fourth District, San Diego

BETTY T. YEE
State Controller

BRENDA FLEMING
Executive Director

No. 2020/058

November 25, 2020

TO COUNTY ASSESSORS:

**FRESNO COUNTY
ASSESSMENT SAMPLING SURVEY**

A copy of the Fresno County Assessment Sampling Survey is enclosed for your information. The State Board of Equalization (BOE) completed this sampling survey in accordance with section 15640 of the Government Code and section 75.60 of the Revenue and Taxation Code for purposes of certifying the eligibility of the county to continue to recover costs associated with administering supplemental assessments.

The Fresno County Assessment Sampling Survey Report is a quantitative evaluation of Fresno County's 2017-18 assessment roll. The sampling indicates Fresno County's 2017-18 assessment roll meets the requirements for assessment quality established by section 75.60.

We thank the Honorable Paul Dictos, Fresno County Assessor-Recorder, and his staff for their courtesy and cooperation.

Sincerely,

/s/ David Yeung

David Yeung
Deputy Director
Property Tax Department

DY:dcl
Enclosure

INTRODUCTION

Although county government has the primary responsibility for local property tax assessment, the State has both a public policy interest and a financial interest in promoting fair and equitable assessments throughout California. The public policy interest arises from the impact of property taxes on taxpayers and the inherently subjective nature of the assessment process. The financial interest derives from state law that annually guarantees California schools a minimum amount of funding; to the extent that property tax revenues fall short of providing this minimum amount of funding, the State must make up the difference from the general fund.

The assessment practices survey program is one of the State's major efforts to address these interests and to promote uniformity, fairness, equity, and integrity in the property tax assessment process. Under this program, the BOE periodically reviews the practices and procedures (survey) and/or sampling of the county's assessment roll (sample) of specified County Assessors' offices. This report reflects the BOE's findings in its current sample of the Fresno County Assessor-Recorder's Office.¹

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code² section 75.60, the BOE determines through the survey program whether a county assessment roll meets the standards for purposes of certifying the eligibility of the county to continue to recover costs associated with administering supplemental assessments. Such certification is obtained either by receiving satisfactory statistical results from a sampling of the county's assessment roll, or by a determination by the survey team – based on objective standards defined in regulation – that there are no significant assessment problems in the county.

The sampling of the county's assessment roll determines the average level (ratio) of assessment for all properties and the disparity among assessments within the sample. The ideal assessment ratio is 100 percent, and the minimum acceptable ratio is 95 percent. Disparity among assessments is measured by the sum of absolute differences found in the sample; the ideal sum of absolute differences is 0 percent and the maximum acceptable number is 7.5 percent. If the assessment roll meets the minimum standards for ratio and disparity, the county is eligible to continue to recover the administrative cost of processing supplemental assessments.³

¹ This report covers only the assessment functions of the Assessor's office.

² Unless otherwise stated, all statutory references are to the California Revenue and Taxation Code and all rule references are to sections of California Code of Regulations, Title 18, Public Revenues.

³ For a detailed description of the scope of this program, please refer to the document entitled *Assessment Sampling Program*, which is available on the BOE's website at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/Assessors/pdf/assessmentsamplingprogram.pdf>.

FRESNO COUNTY ASSESSOR'S SAMPLING RESULTS

The BOE's County-Assessed Properties Division sampled the Fresno County Assessor's 2017-18 assessment roll, which contained 301,247 assessments with an enrolled taxable value of approximately \$74 billion. The composition of the 2017-18 assessment roll by property type is as follows:

PROPERTY TYPE	NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS	ENROLLED VALUE
Residential/Rural	268,090	\$56,271,758,677
Commercial/Industrial	28,642	\$17,606,474,169
All Other	4,515	\$268,463,085
Total	301,247	\$74,146,695,931

The Fresno County Assessor's assessment roll meets the requirements for assessment quality established by section 75.60. Our sample review of the 2017-18 assessment roll indicated an average assessment ratio of 99.27 percent, and the sum of the absolute differences from the required assessment level was 1.28 percent. Accordingly, the BOE certifies that the Fresno County Assessor's Office is eligible to receive reimbursement of costs associated with administering supplemental assessments.

COUNTY-ASSESSED PROPERTIES DIVISION SAMPLING GROUP

Fresno County

Deputy Director:

David Yeung

Survey Program Manager:

Diane Yasui

Manager, Property Tax

Survey Team Supervisor:

David Dodson

Supervisor, Property Tax

Sample Team:

Gary Coates

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Tina Krause

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Isaac Cruz

Senior Specialist Property Auditor-Appraiser

Mike Ash

Associate Property Appraiser

Tina Baxter

Associate Property Appraiser

Christine Bradley

Associate Property Appraiser

Lee Coleman

Associate Property Appraiser

Amanda Lopez

Associate Property Appraiser

Robert Marr

Associate Property Appraiser

Artemis Oestreich

Associate Property Appraiser

Jennifer Prince

Associate Property Appraiser

Jeff Arthur

Associate Property Auditor-Appraiser

Alexander Fries

Associate Property Auditor-Appraiser

Nancy Le

Associate Property Auditor-Appraiser

Dany Lunetta

Associate Government Program Analyst