

From: May 25, 2021 Board Meeting - Public Comment
To: Meeting Info
Subject: [External] May 25, 2021 Board Meeting - Public Comment
Date: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:09:46 AM



Public Comment

From: Kathleen Siemont
Agenda Item: K1c(2). Proposition 19
Implementation Project
Meeting Date: 05/25/21

Name: Kathleen Siemont, Attorney

E-mail Address:

Phone:

Agenda Item(s):

K1b. Extension of Time to Complete Local Assessment Roll - Report on Time Extension for the Counties of Colusa

Los Angeles

Mariposa

and Yuba

K1c(2). Proposition 19 Implementation Project

K4b. Update on legislation related to the Implementation of Proposition 19

Comment:

Hello, Please provide additional comment to the public on the following questions: - Why is there an additional two years permitted for the Prop 19 impact on commercial properties? - Why was this drafted as a 'constitutional amendment' ? Who determined this should be presented in this matter, and was it any member of the advisory board of BOE? - Why did Mullins' office never return any phone calls, emails or requests for information about his involvement in Prop 19? Dude, you drafted it. You created this. Grow up and own up to your own actions, at least. - How much did Mullins' pocket from the \$38 million spent by the Ca Dept of Realtors? Did the Ca Dept of Realtors draft the legislation for him, in part, in essence, or by suggestion over one of their regular three martini lunches? - Where can one find a copy of the ethical duties of all state officials, including the members advising the BOE? What is the process for review of qualifications of those appointed to the BOE advisory board? By what process did each of the current BOE advisory board members obtain their positions? - What ethical watchdog is responsible for overseeing the BOE's advisory board and preventing some self-interested politician ****cough** MULLIN **cough**** from lining their pockets with the public's money? - How are seniors, the highest risks of fatality from Covid-19, and the highest population group for home ownership, benefited from any law which requires them to leave their homes during a worldwide pandemic? - How does the fact that every public building was closed impact the BOE's position against the argument that this is an unlawful taking as any efforts to comply with the process were intentionally frustrated by a statewide shut down order? - What are the estimated tax benefits to the State as a result of this removal of Prop 13 protections? As the concern was for the "Lebowski" loophole - exactly how many home owners would even come close to a profile of a movie star? No, there aren't because it's a red herring. It's a completely false narrative. - Who drafted this legislation? Who is the one lining the pockets to make this happen? What groups have their hands in drafting this legislation? I have called multiple sources, and no one will admit to drafting this hydra of tax parasite legislation, including its intentionally misleading and deceptive title. I would also like comment from the BOE legal dept on why Mullin received SO MUCH MONEY - how does his representation on the BOE's advisory board not create a reeking stench of unethical behavior? His office dodges calls on Prop 19 while he fills his political coffers. Is this the same Mullins who claims to be from San Mateo? - Shouldn't the fact that the entire country was involved in a major political upheaval provide a basis for pausing the impact of a tax

regulation costing taxpayers directly billions of dollars? The entire country was shut down and time had already passed for complying with the 'deadline'. Many courts in Northern California do not have on-line filing. They don't even take credit cards. Or debit cards. Or cash. - Have any of the advisors personally attempted to file a deed transfer with the counties of Solano, Butte, San Mateo, Napa, Contra Costa counties? Of course not. That's for little people. Multiple documents were submitted in exactly the same format. Some were accepted, some were denied. Each county has its own rules, and they are not consistent. - Finally, I would like to know if any members of the BOE's advisory board are members of the State Bar, are accountants, or subject to any other licensing or disciplinary agency. Thank you ! Kathleen Siemont - SBN 225601

From: May 25, 2021 Board Meeting - Public Comment
To: Meeting Info
Subject: [External] May 25, 2021 Board Meeting - Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 9:34:26 AM

Name: Attorney Kathleen Siemont

E-mail Address:

Phone:

Agenda Item(s):

AA. Property Tax Matter - Board sets unitary values of state-assessed properties annually
G1. Proposed Property Tax Rule 462.540 - Staff requests authorization to publish Property Tax Rule

J. Administrative Consent Agenda - Approval of Board Meeting Minutes and Adoption of Property Tax Forms

K1a. Organizational Update - Report on the status of pending and upcoming organizational issues

K1c(2). Proposition 19 Implementation Project

K3a. Operational Updates - Report on the status of pending and upcoming projects activities

and departmental issues

K5a. Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office Update - Update on activities of the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office

M1. Proposition 19 Implementation

N. Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

Comment:

I would request the Board address the following: - Why was Prop 19 intentionally titled to mislead the public? Who actually drafted - Has BOE reviewed the public response to Prop 19 and the lack of transparency by BOE? - None of the implementing forms were made available to the public prior to the Feb deadline - Why was that? - Was there any type of training manual dated prior to the implementation date of Prop 19? - Why was there no training for Prop 19 for any county recorders' offices? - Why are so many recorders' offices in multiple counties providing conflicting information? - What training has been undertaken to implement Prop 19 now? - Where is the link to publically available state and county employees' training manuals? (These are public records) - One of the letters from BOE states that 'even if the transfer is incomplete, as long as there is notice of intent to transfer' What exactly does this mean, considering that Recorders' offices were closed for the pandemic? - Why is the public being given conflicting information on what is needed to transfer a property from BOE? - Why was there no response from Mullins' office to several requests for information? - How much money did Mullins' pocket of the \$38 million paid by the CA Dept of Realtors in political contributions? - Why did Mullins' office disclaim involvement in Prop 19? (I have the receipts) - Who is in charge of drafting the regulations, and how can they be contacted? My apologies for the frustration - I can find literally no one who will take responsibility for creating this morass of regulations intentionally designed to fleece and mislead taxpayers about their property rights. Thank you for your attention. Kathleen Siemont