The Board met at its offices at 450 N Street, Sacramento, at 9:37 a.m., with Mr. Runner, Chairman, Ms. Ma, Vice Chairwoman, and Ms. Harkey present, Ms. Stowers present on behalf of Ms. Yee in accordance with Government Code section 7.9.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Ms. Ma.

ADMINISTRATIVE SESSION

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, CONSENT

With respect to the Administrative Matters, Consent Agenda, upon a single motion of Ms. Harkey, seconded by Ms. Ma and unanimously carried, Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma, Ms. Harkey and Ms. Stowers voting yes, Mr. Horton absent, the Board made the following orders:

Action: Adopt the following resolution, extending its sincere and grateful appreciation to the retiree for her dedicated service to the State Board of Equalization and to the State of California, their congratulations on the retiree's well-earned retirement, and best wishes to her and her family for continued success, happiness and good health in the years to come (Exhibit 7.1).

Lois Campbell, Senior Specialist Property Appraiser, County-Assessed Properties Division, Property Tax Department

Action: Approve the Board Meeting Minutes of June 26, 2018.

Action: Acknowledge receipt of report on time extension for Napa and Siskiyou Counties to complete and submit the 2018-19 Local Assessment Roll, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 155 (Exhibit 7.2).

Exhibits to these minutes are incorporated by reference.

OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Executive Director's Report

Dean R. Kinnee, Executive Director, provided a report regarding the status of pending and upcoming organizational issues.

Dean R. Kinnee, Executive Director, presented the 2019 Board Workload Plan for approval. The plan consists of the 2019 Board meeting calendar, annual property tax calendars, and significant dates considered in setting Board meeting dates (Exhibit 7.3).

Action: Upon motion of Ms. Stowers, seconded by Ms. Harkey and unanimously carried, Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma, Ms. Harkey and Ms. Stowers voting yes, Mr. Horton absent, the Board approved the 2019 Board Workload Plan as presented by staff.

Property Tax Deputy Director's Report

David Yeung, Chief, State-Assessed Properties Division, Property Tax Department, provided a report on training and certification programs administered by the Board (Exhibit 7.4).

Mark Durham, Chief, Legislative, Research & Statistics Division, provided an overview of the private railroad car tax program (Exhibit 7.5).

Mark Durham, Chief, Legislative, Research & Statistics Division, reported that the 2018-19 private railroad car tax rate is set at 1.149 percent in accordance with the computation under the provisions of Revenue and Taxation Code, section 11403 (Exhibit 7.6).

Mike Harris, Manager, State-Assessed Properties Division, Property Tax Department, provided the staff recommendation for the lien date 2018 assessment of private railroad cars under the provisions of the Private Railroad Car Tax Law (Exhibit 7.7).

Action: Upon motion of Ms. Harkey, seconded by Ms. Ma and unanimously carried, Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma and Ms. Harkey voting yes, Ms. Stowers not participating in accordance with Government Code section 7.9, Mr. Horton absent, the Board adopted the 2018 private railroad car roll as recommended by staff.

Richard Reisinger, Chief, State-Assessed Properties Division, Property Tax Department, provided an overview of unitary and nonunitary property classifications (Exhibit 7.8).

Richard Reisinger, Chief, State-Assessed Properties Division, Property Tax Department, provided the staff recommendation on the allocations of the unitary values adopted by the Board in May 2018, plus adjustments based on prior Board action and staff-recommended nonunitary values (Exhibit 7.9).

Action: Upon motion of Ms. Harkey, seconded by Ms. Ma and unanimously carried, Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma and Ms. Harkey voting yes, Ms. Stowers not participating in accordance with Government Code section 7.9, Mr. Horton absent, the Board adopted the 2018 state-assessed property roll as recommended by staff.

BOARD MEMBER REQUESTED MATTERS

Proposed Changes to Regulations 302, 305, 305.1, 305.2 and 323 (Ms. Ma)

Ms. Ma made introductory remarks regarding the discussion of, and proposed changes to Regulations 302, *The Board's Function and Jurisdiction*; 305, *Application*; 305.1, *Exchange of Information*; 305.2, *Prehearing Conference*; and 323, *Postponement and Continuances* (Exhibit 7.10).

Speakers: Charles W. Leonhardt, Plumas County Assessor, and President, California

Assessors Association (Exhibit 7.11)

Lawrence E. Stone, Santa Clara County Assessor Marcy Berkman, Santa Clara County Counsel Paul Dictos, Fresno County Assessor/Recorder Christina Wynn, Sacramento County Assessor

Richard N. Benson, Marin County Assessor/Recorder/Clerk (Exhibit 7.12)

Diane Brown, Butte County Assessor

Don H. Gaekle, Stanislaus County Assessor

Jesse Salinas, Yolo County Assessor/Recorder/Clerk

George Renkei, Assistant Assessor, Los Angeles County Assessor's Office

Edward Yen, Senior Deputy, Los Angeles County Counsel

Leslie K. Davis, Calaveras County Assessor

Dawn Duran, Administrator, San Francisco Assessment Appeals Board

Tom Parker, Los Angeles County Counsel on behalf of the Assessment Appeals Board

Dorothy Johnson, Legislative Representative, CA State Association of Counties Samantha Corbin, California Tax Reform Association

Marc A. Aprea, Principal, Aprea & Micheli, on behalf of California Alliance of Taxpayer Advocates (CATA)

Mark Ong, Managing Director, Independent Tax Representatives

Cris K. O'Neall, Attorney, Greenberg, Taurig, LLP

Troy Van Dongen, Chair, Marin County Assessment Appeals Board

The Board recessed at 12:29 p.m. and reconvened at 12:36 p.m. with Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma, Ms. Harkey and Ms. Stowers present.

Action: Ms. Harkey moved to instruct the Chief Counsel to start the preliminary analysis on the proposed changes to Rules 302, 305, 305.1, 305.2 and 323 as presented by California Alliance of Taxpayer Advocates (CATA), for Board consideration of whether to engage in the regulatory process. The motion was seconded by Mr. Runner. Ms. Ma offered an amendment that would change the 10-day period to 90 days. The amended motion was seconded by Mr. Runner. Ms. Harkey withdrew her motion.

Upon motion of Ms. Harkey, seconded by Mr. Runner and duly carried, Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma and Ms. Harkey voting yes, Ms. Stowers voting no, Mr. Horton absent, the Board directed the Executive Director to instruct the Chief Counsel to start the preliminary analysis on the proposed changes to Rules 302, 305, 305.1, 305.2 and 323 as presented by CATA, with the exception of changing the date from 10 days to 90 days, for Board consideration of whether to engage in the regulatory process.

The Board recessed at 1:18 p.m. and reconvened at 1:19 p.m. with Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma, Ms. Harkey and Ms. Stowers present.

Proposed Changes to Legislation Regarding Meeting Requirements (Ms. Harkey)

Ms. Harkey made introductory remarks regarding the need for discussion regarding legislation to change the monthly Board meeting requirement to a quarterly meeting requirement (Exhibit 7.13).

Action: Upon motion of Ms. Harkey, seconded by Ms. Stowers and unanimously carried, Mr. Runner, Ms. Ma, Ms. Harkey and Ms. Stowers voting yes, Mr. Horton absent, the Board approved Ms. Harkey's recommendation to support legislation to amend Government Code section 15609 to require regular meetings to be held at least quarterly, rather than monthly, in Sacramento, instead of places within the state, as recommended by Ms. Harkey.

CLOSED SESSION

Mr. Runner made the announcement that there would not be a Closed Session as there were no items to discuss.

The Board adjourned at 1:25 p.m. and reconvened immediately. The Board adjourned at 1:27 p.m. in memory of Member Fiona Ma's mother Sophia Ma, talented artist, teacher, wife, mother and grandmother.

The foregoing minutes are adopted by the Board on August 21, 2018.

Note: The following matter was removed from the calendar prior to the meeting: BOE Legal Opinion on Assessment Appeals – Exchange of Information, Revenue and Taxation Code section 1606; whether an assessor's office must provide opinion of value to taxpayer before an assessment appeals board hearing (Mr. Horton).